

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,264	01/03/2002	Seungbae Park	EN999048D	8328	
7	7590 06/20/2002				
David L. Banner Press Building - Suite 902 19 Chenango Street			EXAMINER		
			GARCIA, ERNESTO		
Binghamton, N	IY 13901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3679		
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application N .	Applicant(s)	θ				
Office 4 - 4 - 4	10/038,264	PARK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ernesto Garcia	3679					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the c ver she	et with the correspondenc ac	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, n by within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 a, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timels) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03.	January 2002 .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for forma	l matters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 7 is/are withdr	awn from consideration	on.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received	l.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received	in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a)).	Stage				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		al application)				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro			п аррисации).				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •						
Attachment(s)	_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Noice of Informal Patent Application (PTer:					

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 and 3-5, drawn to a solder joint, classified in class 403, subclass
 270.
- Claims 6 and 8, drawn to a method of soldering, classified in class 29, subclass 885.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the solder joint can be made by another and materially different soldering process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the solder joint and the method of soldering:

Application/Control Number: 10/038,264 Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

I. Figs. 3a and 4; IV. Fig. 3d; VII. Fig. 3g.

II. Fig. 3b; V. Fig. 3e;

III. Fig. 3c; VI. Fig. 3f; and,

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic to group I, and claim 6 is generic to group II.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Art Unit: 3679

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to David L. Banner on June 17, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3679

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernesto Garcia whose telephone number is 703-308-8606. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H Browne can be reached on 703-308-1159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

Lynne H. Browne Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600

Page 5

E.G.

June 17, 2002